

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/943,880	Applicant(s) BALL, MICHAEL B.
	Examiner Alonzo Chambliss	Art Unit 2827

All Participants:

- (1) Alonzo Chambliss. (3) _____.
 (2) James R. Duzan. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2003

Time: 4:10PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 2nd paragraph and non-statutory double patenting

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,337,227

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's attorney was called suggest faxing a terminal disclaimer to avoid a non-statutory double patenting rejection with the US reference above and inserting the language of " between the " into claim 1 to avoid a 112 2nd paragraph rejection. The attorney faxed over a terminal disclaimer and gave the examiner authorization to make the change to claim 1. Therefore, a notice of allowance is forthcoming.